

Message

---

**From:** Biggio, Patricia [biggio.patricia@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 2/17/2021 1:40:50 PM  
**To:** Reaves, Elissa [Reaves.Elissa@epa.gov]; Friedman, Dana [Friedman.Dana@epa.gov]; Vogel, Dana [Vogel.Dana@epa.gov]; Lowit, Anna [Lowit.Anna@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Grable, Melissa [Grable.Melissa@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Chlorpyrifos

Hi Elissa,

We have a meeting tomorrow with HED from 11 to 11:30 that initially was intended to focus on the next briefing. I can expand it to 1 hour (10:30 – 11:30) to allow more time to discuss OGC's email.

Thank you,  
Trish

---

**From:** Reaves, Elissa <Reaves.Elissa@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 16, 2021 5:16 PM  
**To:** Friedman, Dana <Friedman.Dana@epa.gov>; Vogel, Dana <Vogel.Dana@epa.gov>; Lowit, Anna <Lowit.Anna@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Grable, Melissa <Grable.Melissa@epa.gov>; Biggio, Patricia <biggio.patricia@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Chlorpyrifos

I think we need to meet with HED and Anna given the new info from Ed about the 100 days and chlorpyrifos.

---

**From:** Friedman, Dana <Friedman.Dana@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 16, 2021 4:08 PM  
**To:** Vogel, Dana <Vogel.Dana@epa.gov>; Lowit, Anna <Lowit.Anna@epa.gov>; Reaves, Elissa <Reaves.Elissa@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Grable, Melissa <Grable.Melissa@epa.gov>; Biggio, Patricia <biggio.patricia@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Chlorpyrifos

Please see below and let me know if you have any input for me to convey to Angela. I let her know that while we'd likely be making good progress on the outcome from the SAP and additional analysis, I didn't see us being far enough along with a final decision on the factor to include in an ID towards a 60-day trajectory.

---

**From:** Huskey, Angela <Huskey.Angela@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 16, 2021 3:34 PM  
**To:** Biggio, Patricia <biggio.patricia@epa.gov>; Friedman, Dana <Friedman.Dana@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Chlorpyrifos

Hello,

The petitioners contacted DOJ to schedule discussions about putting the current case in abeyance, in light of Biden's executive order to reconsider the chlorpyrifos objections denial. Their opening suggestion is perhaps asking the court for a 60-day abeyance, after the public comment period closes, for EPA to consider comments and make a decision on whether to rescind the denial order, grant the objections and petition, and finalize the proposed rule to revoke the tolerances. To get all of that done in 60 days is impossible, but I just wanted to check and see where you think you might be within 60 days (i.e., by May 7, 2021) and what scientific conclusions you think you might be able to make about chlorpyrifos by that date, if any. Also, any updates on when/what we'll hear from ORD/HED on the SAP?

I'm going to talk with DOJ tomorrow at 10. I don't know that they have any further recommendations on how to respond to the petitioners and whether an abeyance is appropriate here, but I'll let you know if anything useful comes up.

Thanks,  
Angela